	Case 3:23-cv-01710-AMO D	ocument 16	2 Filed 06/24/23	Page 1 of 3
H H H H C N T C C C	Abby L. Dennis, DC Bar # 994476 Peter Richman, CA Bar # 149107 Ashley Masters, TX Bar # 24041412 Abigail Wood, DC Bar # 242239 Federal Trade Commission 500 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20580 Tel: (202) 326-2381 adennis@ftc.gov; prichman@ftc.gov; amasters@ftc.gov; awood@ftc.gov [Additional counsel identified on signatur UNITED STA NORTHERN DI	ATES DISTR	ICT COURT CALIFORNIA	Rule 3-4(a)(1)]
) _		ANCISCO D	IVISION	
	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,			
	Plaintiff, v.			
	INTERCONTINENTAL EXCHANGE	INC	e No. 3:23-CV-0171	
	and	PLA CO	AINTIFF FEDERA MMISSION'S RE(QUEST TO
	BLACK KNIGHT, INC.,		SPOND TO DEFE SMISSION	NDANTS'
	Defendants.			
	PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST TO RESPOND TO DE CASE NO. 3:23-CV-01710-AMO	FENDANTS' SI	JBMISSION (DKT. 16	1)

Following the status conference on June 22, 2023, the Court asked the Defendants, Intercontinental Exchange, Inc. ("ICE") and Black Knight, Inc. ("Black Knight"), "to submit additional briefing on the matter of the Court's authority to supersede and/or stay administrative proceedings." Dkt. 154. Defendants then filed their submission. Dkt. 161.

The Court has not yet invited briefing from Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") on this topic. If the Court is considering taking any action with respect to Defendants' submission, the FTC respectfully requests the opportunity to respond to Defendants' arguments. To our knowledge, no district court in a Section 13(b) merger case has ever issued an order staying the Commission's administrative proceeding, and such an extraordinary measure is not appropriate here. Defendants also misinterpret the Commission's rules of practice, which govern *Commission* proceedings and do not expand or restrict district courts' powers. In any event, as Defendants acknowledge, FTC staff now have asked the Commission for a postponement of the start of the evidentiary hearing in the administrative proceeding, and if granted, that postponement should alleviate the scheduling concerns the Court expressed at the status conference. The FTC also believes the July 12, 2023 status conference that Defendants request is unnecessary in light of the pre-hearing conference set for July 20, 2023 (Dkt. 118 at 3), and the parties' joint statement of July 14, 2023 in advance of that conference (Dkt. 157 at 2).

Should the Court welcome briefing by the FTC on this matter, we request a response date of Wednesday, June 28.

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANTS' SUBMISSION (DKT. 161) CASE NO. 3:23-CV-01710-AMO

Case 3:23-cv-01710-AMO Document 162 Filed 06/24/23 Page 3 of 3

Dated: June 24, 2023 Respectfully submitted, 1 2 /s/ Abby L. Dennis Abby L. Dennis 3 Peter Richman Ashley Masters 4 Abigail Wood Daniel Aldrich 5 Laura Antonini 6 Catharine Bill Caitlin Cipicchio 7 Steven Couper Jessica S. Drake 8 Janet Kim Christopher Lamar 9 Christopher Megaw 10 Lauren Sillman Neal Perlman 11 Nicolas Stebinger Nina Thanawala 12 Taylor Weaver 13 Federal Trade Commission 14 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20580 15 Tel: (202) 326-2381 16 Counsel for Plaintiff Federal Trade 17 Commission 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANTS' SUBMISSION (DKT. 161)

CASE NO. 3:23-CV-01710-AMO